CC Docket No. 94-102 – E911 Interim Report

Filed by: Chama Wireless, L.L.C.

☐ David Walker

1562 Park Street, Unit E Castle Rock, CO 80104-3113

Date: July 31, 2003

To: Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

By Electronic Submission:

John Muleta, Chief Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

David Solomon, Chief Enforcement Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

TIER III CARRIER INTERIM REPORT CC Docket No. 94-102

Chama Wireless, L.L.C. ("Chama") hereby submits its E911 Interim Report, pursuant to Revision of the Commission's Rules to Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems, Phase II Compliance Deadlines for Non-Nationwide CMRS Carriers, CC Docket No. 94-102, FCC 02-210, released July 26, 2002 (Non-Nationwide Carrier E911 Order), and Public Notice, DA 03-2113, released June 30, 2003.

Carrier Identifying Information:

Carrier Name: Chama Wireless, L.L.C. – FRN 0007-2672-14

E911 Compliance Officer: David Walker

1562 Park Street, Unit E Castle Rock, CO 80104-3113

E911 Implementation Information:

In February 2003, FCC rules changed, and carriers were given the flexibility to pursue alternative business models. Chama has moved to an alternative business model, specifically, becoming a "carriers' carrier". Thus, Chama has no retail business, providing only wholesale service to other carriers. CHAMA THUS HAS NO SUBSCRIBERS AND WILL NOT HAVE ANY SUBSCRIBERS. Based on this premise, Chama hereby reports as follows:

- Chama has received no Phase I requests and no Phase II requests from PSAPs. Chama has obtained and installed all of the network equipment and software necessary to meet any Phase I request from a PSAP. Chama is dependent on a landline between the switch and the requesting PSAP for Phase I deployment, and will have to work with the local exchange carrier ("LEC") to have a landline installed when the time comes. Chama's system operates in rural areas, and it can take a LEC as long as 12 or even 18 months to install a new landline in a rural area. Thus, there is the substantial possibility that a PSAP's request would remain outstanding longer than six months while Chama awaits the installation of a landline connection to the PSAP.
- ☐ Chama is employing a handset-based solution for incoming roamers. Chama is using TDMA technology supplied by Airbiquity. Airbiquity is based in the State of Washington.
- Chama intends to serve incoming roamers using a handset-based solution. As such, Chama has installed all of the necessary network equipment for Phase I E911 deployment. Chama anticipates a significant problem with its Phase II E911 deployment. Specifically, Chama elected to use a handset-based solution for its E911 deployment, because it was the only attainable solution, either technically or financially. Recently, the FCC ruled that Airbiquity, the only vendor of TDMA handset-based solutions, was not offering a Phase II-compliant handset. Now neither Airbiquity nor any other vendor will be supporting a handset-based

TDMA solution. Moreover, Chama is unable to switch to a network-based solution, because it is technically impossible. For a network-based solution to function, a handset must be located within the reliable service area of at least three cell sites simultaneously, in order to triangulate the position of the handset. Chama operates only in remote, rural areas, where there is little overlap between two cells, and never any overlap among three cells. Therefore, Chama could never employ a network-based solution.

Chama obtained ALI-capable handsets from Airbiquity prior to the October 1, 2002 deadline, such that they were available if requested. Chama did not encounter any problems in obtaining or negotiating agreements to obtain these ALI-capable handsets. Chama added no new subscribers after October 1, 2002. As previously discussed, following the rule change to allow licensees to operate entirely as a "carriers' carrier", Chama went to that business model. Chama currently has no subscribers, but, rather, serves only the customers of other carriers. Chama will not have any future subscribers.

Chama does not anticipate that full Phase II service will ever be available in its network, for the reasons discussed above pertaining to the issues with the absence of any available Phase

□ With regard to meeting the ultimate implementation date of December 31, 2005, see above.